Volume 2 1944 Number 11

THE CROSS AND THE FLAG

25c
Year: Two Dollars
February

666 is SLAVERY

Flophouses for Heroes
Christians Beware
Lipschitz (?) and Rankin
What Kind of Man Do We Want for President?
What Is Teheran?
Is Russia A Democracy?
Stalin and The Pope
Reds Fear The Fundamentalists
Fourth Term Means Dictatorship
Power Hungry FDR
Plot To Destroy Congress
Seven Issues Worth Fighting For
Lincoln and Roosevelt
Will There Be An Election?
Deport Charles Chaplin
10 Years In Jail For Criticising A Jew

• FOUNDED BY GERALD L. K. SMITH •

Seven Issues Worth Fighting For

"CHRIST FIRST" has been the refrain of our crusading movements ever since their inception. We Nationalists have never been ashamed of the Name of Christ. We believe that Christ's teachings are the foundation of all good governments. In fact, there can be no good government without Christ. This does not mean that we would deny non-Christians the liberty that is in Christ except as they deny it to themselves by their non-Christian attitudes and their anti-Christian professions.

A public official motivated by the spirit of Christ will seek the welfare of all the people in Christ's Name regardless of their economic, racial or social standing. Jesus, while on earth, offended many people by his constant concern for the welfare of all the people—sick and well, rich and poor, high and lowly, saint and sinner. The Jews even condemned Christ because he opposed the stoning of the adulteress. He challenged her persecutors with the searching question: "He that is without sin among you, let him first cast a stone at her."

Thus as we launch the AMERICA FIRST CRUSADE and as we deal with the dynamic issues of this hour, it must be understood that at all times we recognize the eternal truth that *Christ must come first*. It was He who said: "Seek ye first the kingdom of Heaven and its righteousness and all these things shall be added unto you."

Thus in summarizing the issues to be emphasized, we would have it understood that they are all secondary to the commands of Christ.

---

1. AMERICA MUST BE PUT FIRST IN WAR AND PEACE.

We do not mean this in the selfish, greedy sense. It is a fundamental social law that a man must consider his own first. A Scripture verse says: "He that careth not for his own is worse than an infidel."

We must safeguard our own natural resources. We must fight all attempts on the part of bureaucratic traitors to give away and exhaust our national wealth on their foreign and international ambitions.

What words could be more appropriate than "AMERICA FIRST" in the winning of the war. When peace comes, those whom we send to the peace table must be so loyal and trustworthy that their first consideration will be their own America.

We must make sure that our own veterans of this war are considered first. Attempts are being made to flood us with immigrants and refugees. If we allow millions of foreigners to flood into our country, they will take the jobs which belong to the men and women in the armed services. This must never be.

2. NO COMPROMISE OF NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY.

International planners are attempting to trick us into a world government. If this happens, a group of men meeting in Teheran, or Casablanca, or London, or South Africa, will be making laws for our people to obey. We must fight to keep our government in our National Capital. No foreigner must ever be permitted to make rules for our people to obey.

3. REPEAL BUREAUCRATIC FASCISM.

Students of world politics admit that the Washington bureaucracy resembles the Fascism of Europe. It represents an attempt to control our personal lives as well as our daily work by government edict from Washington. The bureaucrats delight to call those of us who are Nationalists, Fascists. They know full well, however, that the real Fascists in America are the bureaucratic tyrants of Washington.

4. FIGHT NEW DEAL COMMUNISM.

The present administration in Washington represents a strange wedding between the technique of Fascism and the philosophy of Communism. Thousands of Communists, pinks, and pro-Communists, are on the payroll of the United States Government. Many government bureaus take their orders from men who believe in the Stalin system.

5. LESS MONEY FOR FOREIGN POLITICS AND MORE FOR DISCHARGED VETERANS.

Without limiting our military forces, we should put a stop to the program of international boondoggling now going on. Billions are being spent on South America, other billions are being loosely scattered all over the earth for *non-military* purposes, while our veterans are returning from this war, jobless and penniless.

Every man in the armed forces who has served more than one year should receive at least $1000—$500 cash, and the remainder at the rate of $100 per month for five months. We are spending on the South Americans alone enough to give a $1000 bonus to 6 million veterans.

6. NO LABOR DRAFT.

Mr. Roosevelt and others would give us all a number and draft every man and woman to do whatever he or his subordinates might dictate. This would be penal servitude. We shall fight this proposal to the limit.

7. RESTORATION OF THE SYSTEM OF PRIVATE ENTERPRISE.

There are two outstanding enemies of private enterprise: (a) Corporate Monopoly; and (b) Government Control.

The poorest boy in America should be given an opportunity to make a million dollars if he knows how to do it. He must not be hindered by the monopolists who are usually controlled by the international bankers, and he must not be hindered by the bureaucrats who want everything to be run and owned by the government.

Private enterprise is the foundation of the American system. It springs from the teachings of Christ. Christ believed in the dignity of the individual soul, in the privacy of the individual soul.

The above issues will be emphasized in the days that are immediately ahead; and of course certain emergency issues will be discussed, such as the current attempt to call off the elections. But most of what we will have to say will center in the enunciated principles listed above.

THE CROSS AND THE FLAG is a monthly magazine. Editor and publisher, Gerald L. K. Smith, National Chairman of the Committee of One Million. Associate editors: E. M. Smith, Bernard A. Doman, Constance Doman. Manuscripts submitted become the property of THE CROSS AND THE FLAG unless accompanied by instructions to the contrary. Subscription rates per year, $2.00. Address all communications to THE CROSS AND THE FLAG, Gerald L. K. Smith, Editor, P. O. Box No. 459, Detroit 31, Michigan. All material copyrighted. Reprints only by consent. (Application for entry as second class matter is pending.) Printed in U.S.A.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

by Gerald L. K. Smith

HATCHET MAN FOR THE SMEAR GANG The name of Victor Riesel does not mean much. I doubt if 25 readers of this magazine know who he is.

He symbolizes the worst in bad journalism. He is a feature writer for one of the most vicious smear sheets in America, known as THE NEW YORK DAILY POST.

Readers of this magazine will recall that the owner of this paper, one Dorothy Backer, was accused by Senator Bennett Clark of Missouri of having promoted the smear campaign against U. S. Senator David I. Walsh.

Riesel specializes in lies and character assassination. In fact, he belongs to the Walter Winchell school of journalism. At present he is engaged in a campaign to discredit all patriotic Americans who put America first. He hates Nationalists and he excoriates Christian patriots.

One of his recent articles contains an attack on the eminent Monsignor Fulton J. Sheen. Another article attacks Protestant leaders. Still another article attempts to start the Catholics and Protestants fighting each other.

Of course, Riesel is nobody. He is merely the hired tool—the prostituted journalist—for his newspaper mistress, Dorothy Backer. These people turn liberty into license. They abuse the freedom of the press by their un-Godly and un-American dissipation of freedom's privileges.

FLOPHOUSES FOR HEROES On February 3 the following dispatch appeared on page 1 of a Detroit newspaper:

"The Skid Row Flophouses (in Detroit)—bed and breakfast for a quarter with delousing thrown in free—are filling up with honorably discharged veterans of World War II."

Yes, I know that a pittance of $100 or $200 has been voted to these boys, but where are they going to sleep, and what are they going to do while they wait for the red tape to unwind?

I remind the reader again that whereas we have billions for foreigners, we have crumbs and flophouses for our heroes.

"DER FUEHRER" The New Deal Democrats gave a Belshazzar feast in Washington a few nights ago. The banquet was held at the exclusive and expensive Mayflower Hotel.

The price was, believe it or not, $100 per plate and all the topnotch New Dealers including Henry Wallace (the great (?) commoner) attended. What is $100 here or there for a supper to a man of the people like Henry Wallace?

When the meeting warmed up, these political jobholders, who are determined to remain in the trough permanently, screamed their determination to elect Roosevelt as the permanent ruler of America. Said they, by resolution: "He must be 'THE LEADER' in peace as well as war."

Many of the people who read this magazine do not know what the words "THE LEADER" mean when translated into German, because most of us only understand one language—the American language. I am informed, however, that the words "THE LEADER" when translated into German mean "Der Fuehrer."

I don't want anyone to be America's "Der Fuehrer," whether his initials are F. D. R. or A. S. H. (meaning Adolph Schicklegruber Hitler).

CHRISTIANS BEWARE Christianity is in danger all over the world. Atheistic Russia is ready to organize Europe the moment the war ends. Certain diabolical atheists and non-Christians in America in order to save their own hides are attempting to start Christians of all faiths to warring among themselves. They hope to revive the Ku Klux Klan in an attempt to pit Protestant against Catholic, and Catholic against Protestant.

We who believe in the name of Christ must stand together as never before under the slogan: "Christians of the World Unite."

We have a perfect right to criticise each other when it comes to doctrine and theology. Freedom of religion must forever remain a bulwark of our Republic, but we must face the anti-Christian hordes with a solid Christian front.

LIPSCHITZ (?) AND RANKIN Frequently we have been informed from reliable sources that Walter Winchell's original name was "Walter Lipschitz," but he changed it.

We now discover that perhaps after all we were misinformed and that his original name was even worse than "Lipschitz." Shrewd investigators are now trying to get him to confess his original name. We know it wasn't "Winchell." In fact, the name "Winchell" is a good old American name. Some of the heroes of the Revolutionary period had the name "Winchell."

Whenever a scoundrel changes his name, he usually steals the name of some loyal patriot.

Congressman John Rankin of Mississippi really took Winchell on the floor of Congress a few days ago. He put him in his place, and referred to him as a "kike." When asked to define the word "kike," he said in effect that a "kike" was a Jew so low that respectable Jews were ashamed of him. In fact, word comes to me that intelligent Jews are beginning to realize that Walter Winchell is doing more to promote misunderstanding between the races than any other 100 men in the United States combined.

After dealing with Winchell, Congressman Rankin, who enjoys the respect of every good citizen of Mississippi, launched into a tribute to our American traditions. When

he had finished scoring the rascal Winchell, every member of Congress rose to his feet and cheered to the echo.

At last our Congress is wise to this spawn of New York's underworld, Walter Lipschitz (?) Winchell (?).

WHAT IS TEHERAN? We hear much of Teheran. About all we really know is that Stalin, Churchill and Roosevelt met in Teheran. We do not know what they did. We do not know what they said. We do not know what they planned. About the only people who seem to be real sure of what happened are the Communists.

Earl Browder and his mangy crew of nation wreckers seem to be so pleased with the results accomplished at Teheran that they insist they are about to junk the entire program of the Communist Party and concentrate wholly on carrying out the Teheran Conference.

Mr. Roosevelt owes it to the American people to put an end to the vagueness of detail concerning this international agreement. One of the traditions of America is: No secret treaties; no secret covenants; open covenants, openly arrived at.

The New Deal internationalists are determined to get us into some sort of world government. The question now seems to be: Will such a world government be dominated by Churchill's Britain or Stalin's Russia?

Those who would like to read a very interesting pamphlet, entitled "Teheran and the Post-War World," may have same by addressing requests to GERALD L. K. SMITH, POST OFFICE BOX 459, DETROIT 31, MICHIGAN. Enclose 10c for mailing and handling.

IS RUSSIA A DEMOCRACY? We constantly hear the phrase, "The Democracies." This is supposed to mean England, Russia, China and the United States. England has a king; Russia has Stalin, who is as complete a dictator as any czar who ever ruled; China has a military dictator with no elections; and the United States is supposed to be a Republic, which embraces the best to be found in a democracy.

Yes, Stalin is our ally, and we must fulfill our obligations as honorably as we know how to all our allies. That does not mean that we need to believe that Russia is a democracy. It positively is not.

William Henry Chamberlin, the eminent reporter, journalist and author, has spent many years in Moscow. In the February issue of THE AMERICAN MERCURY Magazine, he not only denies that Russia is a democracy, but insists that it cannot become a democracy under Stalin.

He divides his discussion into four parts:

1. ***"Freedom of speech*** is completely non-existent in the Soviet Union," says Chamberlin.
2. ***"Freedom of religion*** is also non-existent in the Soviet Union," says Chamberlin. "The majority of churches have been closed. Large numbers of priests and pastors have been arrested and sent to concentration camps. Other thousands have been executed."

   Continuing, Mr. Chamberlin says: "The recent permission, accorded to those ecclesiastics of the Russian Orthodox Church who have escaped execution or exile, to elect a Patriarch does not imply religious freedom. The new Patriarch, Sergius, has for years proved himself a supple stooge***under the Soviet regime."
3. ***"Freedom from want.*** Taking that word in its most modest sense is alien to the Soviet Union," Chamberlin continues.

   Chamberlin then quotes from a report on food conditions in Russia for 1938 (one of the best years Russia has had):

   "In 1938 the Soviet workman was not only more poorly fed than the French or German workman, but more poorly even than the Bulgarian. Compared with the Swedish workman, the Soviet worker, although he ate much more bread, had one-third of the meat, two-fifths of the fats, one-third of the milk, one-tenth of the sugar, one-fifteenth of the eggs, not to speak of vegetables, fruits and so on."
4. ***"Freedom from fear."*** Commenting further on conditions in Russia, Chamberlin says: "In no country have I seen so many examples of abject fear inspired by the government itself. Only in Germany is the political police system so vast, so arbitrary, so awe-inspiring."

   Concluding his sensational description of Stalin's autocracy, Chamberlin says: "To describe Stalin's Russia, at the present time, as a democracy, is to insult democracy, reason and common sense."

Instead of permitting a crew of international propagandists to make us believe that Russia is a democracy, why not admit that Russia is fighting for only one reason: To save herself. If we can exploit this circumstance to our own advantage—O. K. We assume that this is the military strategy of this hour. Let us be realistic and accept it as such without permitting some American Communist to "choke us to death with butter."

SHALL THE TAIL WAG THE DOG? The first sentence of an editorial in the NEW YORK DAILY NEWS for February 8, says: ***"Russia has been acting peculiarly ever since the Teheran conference."***

This is a matter of common knowledge. Statements appearing in the Russian newspapers have caused American and British diplomats no end of apprehension.

The Russian press, among other things, has attacked the Vatican. They have impugned the motives of the British. They have repulsed America's offer to help solve the Polish problem. They have announced their determination to violate the Polish boundary. They have condemned the Polish Government in exile, which is recognized by Britain and America. In fact, they have done many other things too numerous to mention. Even some of the most pro-Russian editorialists have been compelled to confess that among the allies, Russia is now the "problem child."

If Russia is ambitious to rule Europe, this is likely to drive Britain and the United States closer together. If such is the case, we must make sure that the tail does not wag the dog. If, after this war is over, Russia rules Europe and we grant the Four Freedoms to India, the Malay Peninsula, Hongkong, and the West Indies, there will be no British Empire. England will be merely an island off the coast of a Europe dominated by Stalin.

Instead of our permitting the British tail to wag the American dog, we had better approach the development of an American diplomacy that will originate its policies in Washington instead of London. If we don't watch our step, Churchill, or somebody like Churchill, will take us into a third world war to save England from Stalin and to save the Orient for the Sassoons, the dope peddlers, and the British Imperialists.

Col. Robert McCormick of the CHICAGO TRIBUNE made a good suggestion a short time ago when he said in effect: "If England wants to be joined with the United States, let her apply for admission as a state as did Oklahoma."

In other words, if we Americans are going to assume most of the responsibility and furnish most of the money and 73% of the soldiers, why should not we make up our own minds as to what we are going to do.

Of course, it is to Britain's advantage that Churchill is a much more intelligent man in every way than Mr. Roosevelt. Consequently, Churchill usually gets what he asks for, while Roosevelt gets his picture taken sitting in the middle.

STALIN AND THE POPE Communism has always been against religion. The American Communists, however, have attempted to hide this fact.

Within the past month the Russian journals have accused

Pope Pius XII of being pro-German and being pro-Fascist. They hope by doing so that they will stir up the American Protestants and win over the anti-Catholics. Naturally, non-Catholics do not accept the Pope as their religious authority, but in Southern Europe he symbolizes Christianity.

It must be remembered that the same Russia which now condemns the Pope has also burned the Protestant's Bible. Even LIFE Magazine, notorious for its internationalism, admits in an editorial appearing in its current issue that Russia's attack on Christianity at this time is awkward and dangerous diplomacy.

As I say elsewhere in this magazine, let all Christians, both Catholic and non-Catholic, be prepared for Communism's diabolical plot to divide the believers in Christ. Certain atheistic Jews are also trying this trick.

BRITISH SMEAR DEWEY

We don't know much about Tom Dewey, Governor of New York. Since he insists that he is not running for President, he has not made any campaign speeches. In his recent campaign for Governor as well as his more recent campaign to elect a Lieutenant Governor to fill a vacancy, he confined his speeches to issues involving New York State.

However, the British press has been smearing Dewey. They insist that Roosevelt be re-elected. They condemn Dewey as an isolationist.

I have no extended comment to make on this situation at present, only to say that this circumstance is a compliment to Dewey.

IS THIS A BUREAUCRAT?

The New York City press for February 8 reveals that Mrs. Florence Street (whose name is unimportant) is suing her husband for divorce on the grounds that he has embraced the cult religion of Yoga.

Quoting from the paper we read:

"Mrs. Street, no yogi herself, was acid in her comment on the cult, which 'includes various physical disciplines such as controlled breathing and posture.'

"It seems, her affidavit said, that her husband met Miss Barnes in 1942 and 'since that time he changed his religion and became a yogi, of which cult she is a sponsor and is known as a high priestess.'

" 'After his meetings with her,' the affidavit continued, 'he would return home, would stand on his head, squat like Buddha, and would eat nothing but carrots.

" 'When I asked him what he was doing, he stated that I would not understand the philosophy of Yoga of the East and that Miss Barnes had given him new life, new love, new hope, and a complete new cycle.' "

Sounds like a bureaucrat to me.

REDS FEAR THE FUNDAMENTALISTS

THE NEW REPUBLIC Magazine is considered the semi-official organ for the New Deal bureaucrats. Most of the left-wing bureaucratic fascists in Washington read this magazine, take it seriously, and in some instances almost quote it like the Bible.

It has supported planned economy, New Deal socialism, bureaucratic collectivism, and practically all the "screwball" philosophers that have wormed their way into our Washington government during the past twelve years. Henry Wallace is their ideal, Felix Frankfurter is their hero, and Eleanor Roosevelt is their "Mother Superior."

THE NEW REPUBLIC is anti-Catholic, anti-Fundamentalist (Protestant), pro-internationalist, pro-Russian, and pro-world government. It promotes race hatred by accusing everybody who criticises a Jew as being anti-Semitic. It advocates the intermarriage of negroes and whites.

In its issue of February 7, THE NEW REPUBLIC admits that the Christians in America are becoming politically conscious. It admits that most Fundamentalists are Nationalists. It credits Senator Reynolds, Father Coughlin, Rev. Gerald B. Winrod, Rev. Harvey H. Springer, and myself, with having millions of followers determined to promote a Nationalistic program in America.

It so happens that I have no organized connection with any one of these gentlemen, but I am happy to know that the New Deal internationalist mouthpiece admits our strength.

In the same article where it makes this admission, THE NEW REPUBLIC expresses great fear that the AMERICA FIRST PARTY is growing too rapidly and is likely to attract most of the Fundamentalists and the Catholics who are interested in keeping alive Christian ideals in planning the future of America. When our enemies admit that we are gaining and becoming actually strong and powerful, we should take courage.

BLUE STAR MOTHERS PROTEST

The National Blue Star Mothers of America is an organization with headquarters in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. They are bitterly opposed to anything that looks like human slavery as advocated in the Austin-Wadsworth Bill.

On January 28 a letter bearing the signature of Lillian Parks and Catharine V. Brown voiced a protest to the United States Congress against anything that might result in regimentation or involuntary servitude. The mothers dealt roughly with Grenville Clarke who seems to be the Wall Street braintruster for the so-called National Service Act and other "draft everybody" schemes that have been introduced.

The three highlights in the letter are as follows:

A. What we mothers want to know is, WHO are the persons or interests who gave the power to Mr. Grenville Clarke, a Wall Street lawyer of New York City, Author of the "National Service Act" CONSCRIPT ALL MEN AND WOMEN?

B. We insist that Congress shall refuse to consent to the forced registration or drafting of women for any purpose whatever, and that Congress make public inquiry into the background influences sponsoring the ideas of Rep. James W. Wadsworth and Senator Warren R. Austin, and take appropriate action according to the evidence produced.

C. The greatest possible contribution a mother can make to the Nation during WAR, or peace, is to preserve the Christian home and family life of her returning sons and daughters who are serving in this Global War, and shall look forward to the day when they can return to the home they have fought to preserve.

IN TIME TO MIX THE DRINKS

A few days ago the Roosevelt family (all of whom drink very hard liquor) was celebrating a family anniversary. Col. James Roosevelt, who never was Private Roosevelt, returned from one of the battlefronts, says the Press "in time to mix the drinks."

It is nice that the President has his own bartender right in the family. It will be recalled that Eleanor, when interviewed concerning strong drink for women, said: "Every young woman should learn early in life how much she can safely drink."

Perhaps some of us are not interested in our sons returning in time to mix the drinks. It would seem good, however, if they could drop in for a little chat or for family prayers.

When the history of this New Deal dynasty is written, it will reveal that no royal family in the history of the old world ever lived in the midst of such luxury as enjoyed

by the three-term Roosevelts, who seem determined to become the permanent rulers of America.

BOB SAYS: ~~U. S. Senator Bob Reynolds~~ recently said concerning the Nationalists:

"We are not isolationists. The facts are that there is no such thing as an isolationist in the country. We are American nationalists. There is no such thing as an isolationist in the country to the best of anybody's knowledge. For an isolationist would be one who demands the abandonment of the Monroe Doctrine, the cessation of all commercial intercourse with other countries, and the recall of our entire diplomatic staff. Has anyone heard any reasonable person advocating this? Of course not. All that is recommended is that these United States of America continue to follow the course which during these last one hundred and fifty years has been the chief factor in its growth from thirteen weak colonies into a mighty Nation.

"Yes, we are American nationalists and proud of it."

SUBSIDIES—A SCHEME TO BUY ELECTIONS No political racket has even been invented that was worse than the current subsidy program being advocated by Mr. Roosevelt and others. The scheme is to force farmers and merchants to sell commodities for less than the cost of production; then make up the difference by checks sent out by the Government. In this way the farmer and the merchant would bankrupt themselves and be forced to depend for recovery on a check from Washington. Some bureaucrat could then rule that a certain form or a certain blank was incorrectly filled out, and with this bludgeon whip the victims into line.

In other words, it is a scheme to put agriculture and business on a Government dole and take the cost of it out of the hides of the taxpayers. If the New Dealers can get subsidies, and if they can force through their scheme to steal the soldiers' vote, they figure that they can remain in power forever.

PLOT TO DESTROY CONGRESS Mr. Roosevelt liked Congress as long as it was a rubber stamp. Now that Congress is opposing most of his propositions, he accuses them of promoting frauds upon the people. His recent speech concerning the soldiers' vote was demagoguery at its worst.

One member of the Senate even accused the President of participating in a plot to destroy Congress.

Congress is the bulwark of our Republic. The members of the Lower House are elected every two years. The New Deal bureaucracy did its best to bribe and coerce Congress to the place where it would obey the President like a master's voice. The people have spoken, however, and have served notice on our legislative branch that they want no more rubber stamp legislation.

Walter Lipschitz Winchell is a good criterion. He calls Congress the "House of Reprehensibles." It is my observation that Winchell bootlicks the President and tries to say what he thinks will please Mr. Roosevelt and Eleanor.

POWER HUNGRY FDR I have fought the New Deal and its chief director, Franklin D. Roosevelt, ever since 1932, but I never cease being amazed at Mr. Roosevelt's greed for power. His various cabinet members have invariably been mere stooges. His early Congresses were mere rubber stamps. Congress would still be the same way if Roosevelt knew how to make it so.

He ridiculed the Supreme Court. He attempted to pack it with political buddies. He and his over-ambitious wife never seem to be disturbed by the fact that bureaucrats and ruthless politicians like Hague, Kelly and Pendergast, used hunger, unemployment and relief to build their New Deal, pro-Roosevelt machines. Back in the early 30's money that should have been spent on armaments and national defense was spent on 1001 synthetic projects, such as raking leaves, building monkey baths, and financing WPA circuses.

I was one of the original opponents of Lend Lease on the theory that we should build our own defenses first. However, my chief opposition was based on the fact that under the Lend Lease Bill we delegated too much authority to our President in the handling of Lend Lease money.

Since 1941 Mr. Roosevelt through Harry Hopkins and others has spent $18,608,000,000 on Lend Lease without the approval of anyone else in the government. There is no doubt but what he has used these billions and billions of dollars not only to buy war equipment for our allies, but he has played international politics with the power that this tremendous sum has given him.

Just as he elected and re-elected himself with relief money, he is now using Lend Lease to whip in line the hungry and poverty-stricken nations of the world—with two exceptions. It is generally agreed that Mr. Roosevelt is no match for either Churchill or Stalin when it comes to the "tricks of the trade."

The five members of the United States Senate who toured the world recently reported that Mr. Roosevelt's international boondogglers were promising the foreign nations everything. It seems that Mr. Roosevelt has grown weary of playing Santa Claus to the voters of his own party —he now wants to play Santa Claus to the whole world.

Keen observers agree that his personal ambition is to become the chief potentate of a new super-duper world government. I presume Stalin and Churchill will not object to this as long as they continue to get billions and billions of dollars worth of free "stuff." The little nations will be only too happy to vote for Mr. Roosevelt for World President as long as he is willing to pay the bill with our money.

Gossip in Washington now reveals that there is considerable talk about Mr. Roosevelt getting elected for a Fourth Term and then resigning to become the President of the World. Sounds like Napoleon, doesn't it, except that Napoleon was sort of a "piker."

POLITICAL HARA KIRI Wendell L. Willkie knows how to do many things. *He has been* a socialist; he is a New Dealer; he pretends to be a Republican; he is a Wall street lawyer one day, and an Indiana farmer the next, and an international banker* the next. He can play more parts without the use of false whiskers than any man ever to appear in public life in America. If he ever gets to be President I am afraid he might grow a mustache either like Hitler's or Stalin's.

The other day he committed political Hara Kiri (the Japanese name for suicide) when he announced that if elected President, he would quadruple our taxes. I believe he means it. If Willkie, the international banker, would ever get his scheme through, it would leave the ordinary wage earner who gets $50 a week approximately $22 to live on. That is what the internationalists would like to do—crush us completely and then make us beg for bread.

Well, if Willkie's plan gets out, he is a "dead duck," because I don't think the American people are going to vote themselves into a program that will quadruple their taxes.

*As the reader perhaps knows, Willkie is on the Board of Directors of the Lehman Corporation, one of the biggest international banking houses on earth. He is also President of the Board of Directors of 20th Century Fox Film Corporation, whose chief mogul, Joseph Schenk, just served a term in Federal penitentiary.

NEW YORK CITY, THE CENTER OF DISEASE—WINCHELL ALSO LIVES THERE

The degenerate politics of New York City, which is the national capital of American Communism and the home of Walter Winchell, is collecting a high toll in the life of our American servicemen.

Charles P. Taft, brother of the United States Senator, is an expert on public health. In a speech delivered in New York City, Mr. Taft revealed that New York leads all the cities of the nation in spreading venereal disease among members of the armed forces.

Said Taft: "New York has been No. 1 town on the armed services' infection list at various times since early 1942."

VICTORY IS IN THE AIR

If the election were tomorrow, the New Deal machine would be repudiated completely. All we need to do now is to make sure that the Willkie-ites don't kidnap the Republicans, and prevent the bureaucrats from stealing the soldiers' vote.

The best sign of victory that we have seen since the November 2d elections is in two special Congressional elections in Pennsylvania. These elections were held the 20th of January to fill vacancies. The 2d Congressional district in Pennsylvania has been "New Deal" since 1936. This time they elected a Republican. In 1936 the New Deal candidate got 61.5% of the vote. On January 18th he got 43.7%, meaning that the New Dealers have lost in that district alone nearly 20% of the voters.

In the 17th district, which went Republican by a small majority in 1936, the New Dealer only polled 16.3% of the vote. In this district since 1936 the New Deal vote has fallen from 44.9% to 16.3%, which means that they have lost 28.6%.

If any New Deal politician is reading this editorial, I would advise you to hunt a new job. You and your boss are on the way out. Your goose is cooked. "Thou art weighed in the balance, and art found wanting." The handwriting is on the wall. Victory is in the air.

THE INDISPENSABLE MAN

We have approximately 130 million citizens in the United States. Millions of these citizens are strong, intelligent, and talented Americans. Can it be that out of this tremendous population that there is only one man qualified to be the President of the United States? Can it be that we have reached the place where we have become so backward that we must violate all precedent, including the judgment of Washington and Jefferson, and elect one man as the permanent ruler of our Nation?

Oh, no, that is not the case. Hundreds of men are qualified to be President. Let us call out one of these good Americans and elect him to that high office. Then let us pass a Constitutional amendment forbidding any man ever to hold the office of President longer than eight years.

UPTON CLOSE

Upton Close is the name of a distinguished journalist and traveller, who is one of the outstanding authorities on the Oriental situation. He knows China, India and Japan. He is also a student of world affairs.

Mr. Close broadcasts every Sunday over a nation-wide network. He analyzes events with such keen perception that he frequently agitates the New Dealers and the international propagandists beyond their endurance.

I advise the readers of this magazine to listen to Mr. Close. That does not mean a blanket endorsement of everything he says, but it is my opinion that he is one of the two or three best on the air.

As the reader knows, the bureaucracy in Washington does not permit much criticism. Recently, however, two or three courageous commentators have gotten out of hand and are putting over some strong truth.

An index into Mr. Close's thinking is a quotation from his broadcast of January 23 in which he says:

"Above all, we must become AMERICA-CONSCIOUS. We have been shamed into thinking that to be proud of America is unseemly; and this mainly by nations who are proudest of themselves."

In another part of the same address Mr. Close, in discussing the Imperialistic interests of Russia and Britain as well as the violation of the Atlantic Charter, says:

"The average American is worldly-wise and shrewd enough to have understood that Mr. Churchill invalidated the Atlantic Charter for the world, and that Mr. Roosevelt permitted him to do it without public protest, and that at that point the spiritual basis proclaimed for the conflict ceased to exist. Editors and commentators need not have been so mealy-mouthed in breaking the news to their readers, nor so absurd in hunting excuses for flagrant matters, such as trying to blame someone besides PRAVDA's publishers for what PRAVDA publishes. If the British Empire can expect to come out of war enlarged, as British columnist Don Iddon states in the LONDON DAILY MAIL'S first trans-Atlantic edition, Russia also can take measures to come out enlarged. If Churchill in British imperial interests can ignore Chinese and American and Indian opinion, then Stalin can ignore British and American and Polish opinion about Poland and Latvia and Esthonia, and eventually perhaps about the Balkans, Greece, Hungary, Manchuria and anywhere else where Russian might will be dominant."

EMERGENCY SPEAKING TOUR

I am in the midst of an emergency speaking tour. The dates for my Southern and Western tour have not been set, but following are a few east of the Mississippi. This gives the reader some idea of the scope of our campaign and territory covered, not counting intervening cities.

St. Louis, Municipal Auditorium
Chicago, Curtiss Hall (Fine Arts Bldg.)
Minneapolis, Leamington Hotel
Detroit, Detroit Institute of Art Auditorium
Cleveland, Cleveland Public Auditorium
Pittsburgh, North Side Carnegie Hall
Philadelphia, Bellevue-Stratford Hotel

I am stressing three emergency issues:

1. To expose the conspiracy now being hatched to call off the elections.
2. To mobilize sentiment against Senate Resolution No. 666.
3. To combat the New Deal Plot to steal the soldiers' vote.

LINCOLN AND ROOSEVELT—A COMPARISON

Abraham Lincoln issued only two executive orders while he was in office, even though he was a war-time President. Mr. Roosevelt has issued something like 2200 executive orders.

Lincoln could have been elected President for any number of terms had he lived, but I doubt seriously if he would have put himself above George Washington and accepted the Third Term.

In November we will have the first war-time election since Lincoln was re-elected President. It would be interesting to know what would happen in Washington if Mr. Roosevelt and his bureaucrats would study Lincoln's campaign strategy and emulate it. If they did, I am confident Mr. Roosevelt would not even seek a Fourth Term, and even in seeking a Fourth Term I doubt if he would have the capacity to practice the humble statesmanship so characteristic of the Great Emancipator.

Lincoln came to the people from a log cabin and returned

(*Continued on page 349*)

666 SLAVE ACT Says-

On February 5 Gerald L. K. Smith at the invitation of United States Senator Robert R. Reynolds prepared a statement for the United States Senate-Military Affairs Committee in opposition to the Austin-Wadsworth Bill, commonly known as Senate Resolution 666. Below is the exact copy of the statement.

The Military Affairs Committee of the United States Senate

U. S. Senator Robert R. Reynolds, Chairman

Gentlemen:

I appear before you as an official representative of the following groups and organizations:

1. THE COMMITTEE OF 1,000,000, which has more than 3,000,000 enrolled constituents in the 48 states. More than half of these constituents are located in Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, Pennsylvania and upstate New York.
2. THE AMERICA FIRST PARTY, which has Committees, Organizers, and active workers in each of the 48 states.

This statement not only represents my personal opinion, but has been approved in leadership rallies which we have conducted in all the major centers of population, including Pittsburgh, Buffalo, Philadelphia, Cleveland, Detroit, Chicago, Minneapolis, St. Louis, and Denver. In each of these meetings we brought together typical leaders of the AMERICA FIRST MOVEMENT from surrounding cities and communities. It is our conviction that these people represent literally millions of our patriotic citizenry.

Our people are willing to pay any price and make any sacrifice necessary to a military victory. Our sons and daughters are in the armed services, and our people, though frequently the victims of persecution and unfavorable publicity, have not only sacrificed during this war, but in most instances have sacrificed and given in blood and money more than their accusers. In fact, a survey will reveal that the centers of population where we are the strongest have actually led in bond sales, volunteer enlistments, and those other manifestations of patriotism required to win this war.

My own son, Gerald Smith, Jr., is serving in some foreign theater of war. He left America, October 31, and we have no knowledge of his whereabouts.

Our organization is now emphasizing the following seven basic issues:

1. America must be put first in war and peace.
2. There must be no compromise with our national sovereignty.
3. Repeal bureaucratic fascism.
4. Fight New Deal communism.
5. Less money for foreign countries and more for discharged soldiers.
6. No labor draft.
7. Restoration of the system of private enterprise.

(NOTE: These seven issues are developed in the January issue of the magazine, THE CROSS AND THE FLAG, of which I am the Editor. We have paid-up subscribers in every state of the Union.)

The importance of our activities is emphasized in a recent article by Mr. Ray Tucker, the eminent Washington columnist, who on November 15 said in his column, entitled "Washington Whirligig," the following:

"Gerald L. K. Smith, aide to the late Huey Long, has become a far more important political factor than most people realize. A flamboyant orator, he has been campaigning throughout the country against the New Deal. He was largely responsible for the anti-Roosevelt landslide in many sections on Nov. 2."

Reasons for Opposing Senate Bill No. 666

We Nationalists oppose President Roosevelt's plan for a National Service Act for many reasons, among which are the following:

1. We oppose the drafting of women.

I receive thousands of letters from our leaders all over the United States. They shudder at the thought of women being drafted. Millions of housewives have never worked outside their own homes. They live a cloistered life. Millions of young women are reared under the gentle influence of Christian homes in the country and in the city. They never have occasion to contact individuals who are profane, vulgar and coarse.

They graduate from these Christian homes into wifehood and motherhood. They virtually live and die in the environment of innocence.

Millions of other women who grow up in a more or less sophisticated environment are protected by a careful selection of their associates and their places of work. They are met following their working hours by sweethearts, husbands, or parents. They never think of attending public functions where strangers are likely to be present, except in the company of a chaperon, a boyfriend or a parent.

These millions of wholesome, clean, Christian young women constitute the foundation on which the home life of tomorrow must be built. If they are conscripted by a National Service Act out of their well-guarded environment into a world of profanity, crude conversation, vulgar talk; if they are forced by their government

GERA

U. S. Senate-

to associate with pe
of the underworld c
are fine and nobl
price for such an e
unborn.

Our people still i
thing as the innoce
hood. True enoug
propaganda and f
priceless heritage; i
influences, we stil
wholesome, innoce

I would not say
elements destroys
but it does subject l
laries designed to t
up the gentle intang
to pure and undefile
hood.

2. We question th
politicians in po

Our people are
powerful personalit
believe that even
politician first, an i
an American third.
President asked for
his address on the w
Congress in Janua
speech was saturat
est and most obvio

Our people do not
might consent to a li
pulsory service if the
ment of this act was
fessional soldiers su
shall, and men of si
But our people do n
these men would e
Act. They have the
be enforced under t
kins, Frank Knox, c
guard."

Our people canno
Hopkins, while dire
and WPA enterprises
ernment bureaus to
ruthlessly by the Ne
Our people have the
so-called National Se
tion year, men and w
to play politics in or
ferred against their
other, or from one co

GERALD L. K. SMITH

IN TESTIMONY BEFORE

U. S. Senate-Military Affairs Committee

to associate with people who use the language of the underworld and scoff at the things which are fine and noble, our nation will pay the price for such an error in the generations yet unborn.

Our people still believe that there is such a thing as the innocence and purity of womanhood. True enough, much has been done by propaganda and filthy films to besmirch this priceless heritage; but in spite of these satanic influences, we still have millions of clean, wholesome, innocent young women.

I would not say that contact with rougher elements destroys the purity of womanhood, but it does subject her to contacts and vocabularies designed to tear down rather than build up the gentle intangible qualities so necessary to pure and undefiled womanhood and motherhood.

2. We question the integrity of certain politicians in power.

Our people are very suspicious of certain powerful personalities in Washington. They believe that even the President himself is a politician first, an internationalist second, and an American third. We believe that when the President asked for a National Service Act in his address on the welfare of the Nation before Congress in January, 1944, that the whole speech was saturated with politics in its lowest and most obvious form.

Our people do not trust Harry Hopkins. They might consent to a limited form of national compulsory service if they thought that the enforcement of this act was to be in the hands of professional soldiers such as MacArthur and Marshall, and men of similar standing in the Navy. But our people do not have the impression that these men would enforce a National Service Act. They have the impression that it would be enforced under the direction of Harry Hopkins, Frank Knox, and the so-called "palace guard."

Our people cannot forget that it was Harry Hopkins, while directing relief expenditures and WPA enterprises, who permitted these government bureaus to be used and exploited ruthlessly by the New Deal political machine. Our people have the impression that under a so-called National Service Act during an election year, men and women would be compelled to play politics in order to avoid being transferred against their will from one job to another, or from one community to another.

3. Ruthless politicians might exploit this act for the purpose of shifting populations.

My observation of the conduct of this Administration convinces me that there is nothing too brazen for them to do in order to remain in power. There are many Congressional Districts in the United States where the shift of 500 voters in or out of a District would change the results of a Congressional election. Five hundred New Dealers could be conscripted into a community and 500 anti-New Dealers could be conscripted out of a community in such a way as to elect a Congressman satisfactory to the Fourth Term machine. The same trick could be employed in the choice of Presidential electors. The shift of 1,000,000 negroes and whites out of the solid South into certain Northern industrial communities might easily determine who would be the next President of the United States. I must confess that I believe there are certain politicians in Washington whose Machiavellian nature is equal to any diabolical trick necessary to exploit a National Service Act politically.

4. Would menace home life.

I find among my people an attitude which almost approaches panic at the thought of husbands and wives being separated. For a politicalized Administration, such as we now have in Washington especially, to be given the power to separate a husband from his wife constitutes a menace beyond description. We, of course, assume that military conscription will do this to a limited degree, but the thought of it being practiced on a nation-wide scale is too impossible to imagine.

The moral fibre of many men depends largely on the refining influences of their wives. Even the man will admit that to be separated from his wife for a period of weeks constitutes a hazard to the tranquility of his home life.

This need not be developed. The facts are obvious. Many women live and die good wives because of the balancing influences of a steady, logically-minded husband. Without the daily association of a husband, many of these women would face decisions which would be unwisely made. There would be no end to the complications. Any separation of husbands from wives necessary to win this war must be limited to the demands of the armed services. The way to build the America of tomorrow is not to break up the homes of today, especially when such men as Harry Hopkins would be in charge of the breaking-up process.

5. A universal draft of citizens would not increase production.

I live in Detroit. Detroit is recognized as the national capital of this World War arsenal. Of course, certain things have happened in Detroit to the discredit of organized labor, government agencies and organized business. It is agreed that mistakes have been made. At times labor has been accorded liberty that has bordered on license.

Even so, 95% of the workers in Detroit are good, sound, honest American citizens, and they have produced more materials of war than any community on earth in any similar period of the Nation's history. There are, of course, some isolated instances in this city and other industrial centers where labor as well as management has been unfair, but we must not penalize the whole Nation for the sake of a few outlaws.

President Roosevelt's request for a National Service Act (universal conscription of citizens) does not sound convincing in the light of the facts concerning production of war materials. Our system of free enterprise and of free labor cannot be discredited when we recognize the fact that we are now virtually producing as much as all the other warring nations combined. Most of them have slave labor and conscripted labor. Furthermore, if we must have slavery in order to win a war, what have we won when we win it?

6. A survey of religious denominations will reveal that a National Service Act would run counter to the teachings of most churches.

It is a matter of record that numerous dignitaries in the Catholic Church have expressed great apprehension concerning the possibility of a universal conscription of citizens. One Bishop even announced his opposition to all female branches of the armed services, and advised his parishioners and constituents that the place for a young woman was not in the Army but in the home.

Before preparing this Testimony, I contacted the Pastor of the largest Baptist Church in a Western state. He is the chairman of a special committee representing some 3,000 Protestant preachers. He told me that he did not know of a single preacher within his circle of acquaintance who was not bitterly opposed to the Austin-Wadsworth Bill.

This preacher pointed out that he was very much impressed by the fact that the number of this Bill was "666," and then he quoted for me the three last verses in the 13th Chapter of the Book of Revelation (the last book in the Bible). These verses read as follows:

Verse 16: And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads:

Verse 17: And that no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark, or the name of the beast, or the number of his name.

Verse 18: Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the Number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six.

7. Smacks of the Russian system.

Recently I conferred with a husband and wife who had been in Russia. He had been an important engineer in connection with the construction work in the Donets Basin, Kharkov and Leningrad. These people, when they returned from Russia shortly before the war, were shocked and surprised to see how many of the Russian ideas had been embraced by our Washington bureaucracy. They were especially shocked at the thought of a National Service Act. Said they: "If the Austin-Wadsworth Bill passes, Russia won't have anything on us."

Why, gentlemen, is it that our government has lost confidence in the American citizenry? Why are we compelled to support this and support that? Why are we not given an opportunity to volunteer our services and our lives?

I point out that our good neighbor Canada, to the North, has no sign of a National Service Act. She does not even draft her sons for overseas service. But can you question the loyalty of the Canadian people? I am informed that the same freedom enjoyed by the citizens of Canada is enjoyed by the Australians, the New Zealanders, and the South Africans.

8. Could easily be used as an instrument of persecution.

I was with Huey P. Long when he was assassinated. I know what certain New Deal politicians will do in order to stop opposition. There are many communities and even entire states in this Union where certain political leaders could be drafted out of their home communities or states just in time to dissipate their political leadership.

I am 46 years of age. I have had some experiences with certain government bureaus within the last four or five years which convinces me that if Harry Hopkins or any other similar leader in Washington thought that my leadership could be destroyed by moving me across the Nation prior to the campaign, they would do it.

During the lifetime of Huey Long, we actually discovered, and Mr. Long brought it out on the floor of the Senate that there was a plan on foot to move enough Huey Long voters out of the State of Louisiana (in the name of re-settlement) to win the next election for the Roosevelt partisans.

(NOTE: See Congressional Record for August 9, 1935.)

9. Could become involuntary servitude.

If one American citizen can be compelled by his government to work for another American citizen who is making a profit on his toil, that is involuntary servitude. There is no limit to the abuses that would be worked on labor by certain employers, if once we placed in their hands this bludgeon.

10. Will create disunity.

Beneath the surface and buried deep in the hearts of our people is a resentment toward anything that looks like involuntary servitude. Such an act would so embroil our people that I doubt if their bitterness and belligerency

could be accurately estimated in advance of the passing of such an act. One Civil War was fought in this country to abolish slavery. The introduction of a service act, which would produce slavery in a new form, carries with it potentialities that no citizen can calculate.

11. Would menace morale of armed forces.

Students of morale among servicemen reveal that nothing breaks morale quicker than anxiety about the folks at home. Cheerful letters from home build morale as nothing else. Families are even exhorted to write nothing in their letters to worry the men and women in uniform. Correspondence from overseas reveals that many of our soldiers, sailors, and marines shudder at the thought of their sisters, wives, sweethearts, mothers and fathers being drafted and separated from their hearthstone. The men in the armed services believe that their family is being kept intact during their absence. To separate the family and disorganize the home during their absence might result in fatal consequences.

12. Bureaucrats would attempt to keep it in force after the war.

We hear much talk among the Washington bureaucrats about the continuation of rationing and other bureaucratic functions after the war. We have no reason to believe that these ambitious "commissars" would be anxious to surrender their authority over our private lives if once they got a chance at us through the National Service Act.

13. Destroys patriotism.

If the time should come that our patriotism became nothing but a legal compulsory function, then there would be nothing left to challenge our self-respect or to develop the finer emotions involving sacrificial service. It has been demonstrated on many occasions that the American people resent regimentation. It reduces their efficiency, morale, and patriotic zeal.

14. It would be exploited by the Fourth Term propagandists.

Our people are convinced that Mr Roosevelt will be a Fourth Term candidate. He is now the Commander in Chief of the armed forces. If we pass a National Service Act, it will virtually make him the Commander in Chief of everybody between 18 and 49 among the women folks, and between 18 and 65 among the men folks. This includes the largest percentage of our voters.

Anyone familiar with the techniques of such political machines as Tammany Hall, the Hague machine of New Jersey, the Kelly machine of Chicago, or the Crump machine of Memphis, could not imagine these political bosses overlooking any of the opportunities that such a labor draft as No. 666 would afford.

We would witness millions of instances where private citizens would somehow come to believe that opinions freely expressed might somehow cause them to be moved or shifted. Other millions would grow to believe that the way to get along would be to shout for the Fourth Term candidate.

Back in the old days of relief and WPA, it was generally believed that the way to stay on the payroll and the way to keep in the trough was to be for the "right man," and the "right man" was always Roosevelt's man.

The same politicians that ran the relief machine would run this "national service machine."

God save America!

Gentlemen of the Military Affairs Committee of the United States Senate: We Nationalists believe in America and her traditions. We admit that we are provincial and narrow-minded. We believe that the traditional principles on which our Nation was founded cannot be broadened or toyed with if we are to remain free. The multiplication table cannot be broadened. We cannot play fast and loose with the law of gravity, with the honor of manhood, or with the purity of womanhood. Neither can we broaden the principles which made America rich and free and great and abundant.

We believe in individuality and its encouragement. We believe in free enterprise. We adhere to the political philosophy which has grown out of the teachings of Christ; namely, that there is nothing as valuable on this earth as one human soul. A high respect for the dignity of one human being, no matter how lowly or how rich or how poor, constitutes the acme of accomplishment among Christians and the builders of a free Republic.

Our people are concerned. They are apprehensive. They scrutinize measures which ordinarily would be accepted because they have lost confidence in certain Washington personalities. They believe that there is an organized effort being put forth to limit our personal freedom, to regiment our private lives, and to control our daily existence, not only during this war but after this war.

No good can be accomplished by the passage of this Bill No. 666. It inflames our people. It depresses our citizenry. It arouses smouldering resentments. It means something to our people which is not expressed in its language.

In God's Name, I implore you to report this Bill out, unfavorably. Prevail upon the governing Administration and the directors of this war to be temperate and patient with our people. They have already endured much at the hands of a bureaucracy because of their patriotic desire to preserve unity and to win victory.

The saturation point is being approached. The passage of this law might break the "camel's back." God give you wisdom as you deal with this vicious, un-American, liberty-destroying, home-breaking, morale-menacing, piece of legislation.

IMPORTANT!

One of the best ways to fight "666" is to circulate copies of the above testimony by Gerald L. K. Smith. We are reprinting thousands of extra copies of this testimony in circular form. They are available at $25 for 1000; $15 for 500; and $5 for 100. Address your requests to Gerald L. K. Smith, Editor, THE CROSS AND THE FLAG, Post Office Box 459, Detroit 31, Michigan.

Sergeant Loses Hands and Eye; Out of Army Without Any Help

EDITOR'S NOTE: Below we print excerpts from an article appearing in the NEW YORK JOURNAL-AMERICAN.

NEW YORK, Jan. 25—An ultimate horror of war—government neglect of its own disabled ex-servicemen—struggled with human hope in room 1806 of the Hotel Lexington here today.

Hunched in his chair was a 20-year-old boy, his one good eye fixed on a man before him with stainless steel claws instead of hands.

"But I can still feel my fingers," the boy said. "It still feels like I have my fingers and can move them. How long will it take before I can get used to clamps like yours?"

As he spoke, Lawrence Edward Mahoney lifted his own two arms. The left sleeve was empty, the right held a block of wood encased in a glove.

"How long?" he repeated. "And who will teach me when I get them?"

Lawrence Edward Mahoney, at 20, is a discharged veteran of World War II who lives today entirely dependent on the support of his parents at 217-21 110th avenue, Queens Village, New York, together with eight other children.

His value to the army came to a sudden end at Camp Hood, Texas, last July 9, when a defective grenade blew off his hands, destroyed his left eye and ruptured both ear drums.

Left to shift for himself with only railroad fare home, he had come to room 1806 seeking the assistance of Warren H. Atherton, national commander of the American Legion, and the advice of the man with the steel clamps.

After five weeks at the Camp Hood hospital, where his left eye was removed, his stumps sawed clean, his ears treated, and numerous lacerations of face and body repaired, Lawrence was taken 35 miles to McCloskey General Hospital at Temple, Texas, for four months until last December 20. He there underwent additional treatment, was fitted for the wooden blocks known as "temporary appliances," and was supposed to recuperate.

Lawrence Edward Mahoney comes closest to showing anger when he tells about his four months at Camp McCloskey.

"It was pretty grim," he says. "Nobody came in to help you, to tell you how to use your new hands. You just lay in bed all day.

"Sometimes, because the blocks hurt and I'd have them off, an orderly would come through, take them off the window sill, and throw them on the bed. 'Put your hands on,' he'd say. So I'd put them on."

Finally, on December 20, the man with no hands was discharged with a total of $132 in back pay and railroad fare for his return home.

He made the entire trip in a day coach.

"There were 57 of us," he recalls. "We lined up in the finance officer's office about 1 o'clock in the afternoon and it took us until after 5 o'clock to get our money and papers.

"Cpl. Joe Mangan, a fellow about 22 who got both legs shot off in foreign service and who was going back to New Bristol, Connecticut, took my money for me. He could just barely walk on crutches, but we got home together to our families all right."

What did the army tell him about his rights? What did they tell him he could do to rehabilitate himself, to make a living?

"The army didn't do anything," he says. "The Red Cross said they filed a pension claim for me but nothing's happened yet in nine weeks.

"As far as the army is concerned, all we got was the discharge paper, the money and a lecture.

"We were told to report to our draft boards in 10 days or the FBI would be after us. Also that as long as we were in military uniforms we were subject to military discipline. Stuff like that—that's all."

Nothing else?

"No," he says. "Nothing else. Nothing. Nothing about how to comb my hair again, how to get dressed. Nothing."

Just as the magazine goes to press we are informed that the above mentioned Sergeant Mahoney has received promise of aid from the government due to the wide publicity given his case.

What Kind Of Man Do We Want For President?

1. A man who loves America more than any other nation on earth.
2. One who will be satisfied to be the only member of his family on the Federal payroll.
3. A man with a wife who will live in the White House and stay home at least one-half of the time.
4. A President who will not sell Government-owned documents for cash.
5. One who will be satisfied with two terms.
6. One who will fire all the alien-minded internationalists now on the payroll in Washington.
7. A President whose highest ambition is to be President of the United States.
8. A man who believes in the traditional American way of life.
9. A friend of private enterprise.
10. A believer in States' rights.
11. One who will put the welfare of our veterans ahead of political boondoggling and foreign nations.
12. An enemy of world government.
13. An enemy of class hatred.
14. A believer in the Four Freedoms for American citizens in America.
15. A President who puts his own country first and is not afraid of the words "America First."

Editorial Comment

{Continued from Page 343}

to the people unchanged in his humility and gentleness. War made of Lincoln a man of sorrows who seldom smiled.

Roosevelt came to us out of a Hyde Park mansion, and is now ambitious to run the world. He seems to grow fat on war. He returned from the Teheran Conference where the possible death of one million of our boys must have been discussed. Pictures taken of our President after his return showed him laughing and grinning in great glee. In fact, he seems to be laughing himself right through the war.

SUPREME COURT DEGENERATION The Supreme Court chickens are coming home to roost. The New Deal partisans named to the Supreme Court by Mr. Roosevelt are quarreling among themselves. One metropolitan daily newspaper said recently in an editorial that the Supreme Court decisions were becoming so unstable and so unreliable that they were "making a lottery of the law." The Court is reversing itself and issuing split opinions so frequently that the judges of the lower courts are at a loss to know what to do.

Justice Roberts, who happens to be one Justice who was not named by Mr. Roosevelt, recently said:

> "The tendency to disregard precedents in the decision of cases . . . has become so strong in this court of late as, in my view, to shake confidence in the consistency of decision and leave the courts below on an uncharted sea of doubt and difficulty without any confidence that what was said yesterday will hold good tomorrow."
>
> * * *
>
> "The EVIL resulting from overruling earlier considered decisions must be evident.
>
> "Defendants will not know whether to litigate or to settle, for they will have no assurance that a declared rule will be followed.
>
> "But the more deplorable consequence will inevitably be that THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE WILL FALL INTO DISREPUTE."

MY OLD MAN'S IN THE MONEY Instead of limiting himself to ordinary military quarters, Franklin Roosevelt, Jr., is living in an exclusive, luxurious, Florida hotel. He was interviewed by the Press and asked the question: "How can you afford to do this?" His smartaleck reply was: "My old man's in the money."

We ordinary citizens who are wondering how we are going to pay our income tax on March 15 might find it easy to add: "You're telling us."

SHAME ON SOMEBODY A dispatch out of McCloud, California, dated February 12, which appeared in the DETROIT SUNDAY TIMES, says that one Robert Wetzel returned from the Italian battlefront practically blind.

The dispatch says that he wore glasses which he paid for out of his own pocket, compelling him to arrive home penniless, led by an American-Japanese soldier. Young Wetzel applied to the Army Hospital for glasses, but was turned down with the following statement from the Army doctor:

"If you could be put in shape to fight again, the Army would pay for the glasses. But since you are being discharged, you will have to pay for them yourself."

It was revealed that Wetzel had been wounded on the Italian beachhead.

There is something wrong with a set-up which has billions of dollars worth of equipment available to the Russians and the British, but which sends our wounded boys home penniless and unequipped for civilian life.

This and thousands of other similar situations must be corrected immediately. While this boy arrives home penniless, we witness Franklin Roosevelt, Jr., living in the luxury of wintertime Florida.

ROOSEVELT'S RUNNING MATE One of the latest reports to come out of Washington concerning the Willkie-Roosevelt plot is that Mr. Roosevelt will run for President and Mr. Willkie for Vice President. Then Mr. Roosevelt will resign and become President of the World, or something or other, and Willkie, the barefoot Hoosier from Wall Street, will then be the President of the United States.

WILL THERE BE AN ELECTION? I couldn't believe my eyes when I picked up the paper for February 8 and read a full column story out of Washington revealing that certain plotters in the National Capital were actually working out a plan to call off the election for 1944 and freeze Mr. Roosevelt in office together with the members of Congress.

The scoop on this story was made by the BOSTON GLOBE, whose reporter, Mr. Herbert Black, uncovered the plot and reported it to his paper. He does not quote the source of his information, but he quotes the nine reasons these traitorous plotters give for calling off the election.

As far as I am concerned, I am willing to give fair warning right now that if these bureaucrats in Washington pull a stunt like that and deny us the right to vote this fall, they will have a wild man on their hands in the person of Gerald L. K. Smith, and I know plenty more people who feel just like I do. We won't take it.

10 YEARS IN JAIL FOR CRITICISING A JEW A Jew has the right to be a Jew. He should have the same privileges of freedom of worship and speech as any other citizen.

Unfortunately there has grown up in this country a number of Jewish organizations which would have us believe that all Jews are above criticism. A Jewish organization in New York City has caused to be introduced by one Congressman Lynch into Congress a Bill which, if it became a law, would make it a crime to criticise a Jew. The Bill provides that the offender could be imprisoned for as many as 5 or 10 years.

This is bad stuff. Such foolishness will promote more anti-Semitism in a day than all the Jew haters put together.

Why not make it a crime to criticise a Baptist? a Methodist? a Catholic? an Indian? Why not make it a crime to criticise Gerald L. K. Smith and his followers? Then after we had passed all such laws, there would be no liberty left, no free speech, and no free press.

Nothing will bring down suspicion on the Jews quicker than for them to create the impression that they can't stand criticism.

I have been advertised by certain Jewish journals as the worst anti-Semite in America merely because I have criticised some Jews. I have also criticised people of every other race and religion, including my own.

Roosevelt is an Episcopalian; but that is no sign that I am an anti-Episcopalian because I criticise FDR. George Washington was also an Episcopalian.

I attend a Presbyterian Church frequently where the pastor is my good friend, but I understand that Wendell Willkie is also a Presbyterian—when he goes—if he goes. But just because I fight Wendell Willkie is no sign that I am against the Presbyterian Church.

But the moment I jump on Felix Frankfurter, Judge Rosenman and others, certain Jews rise up and brand me an anti-Semite, a promoter of Jew hate.

Well, I give up. If someone will figure out the best way to handle the Jews, he will go down in history as the wisest person in all the centuries.

What do you think should be done, dear reader?

DEPORT CHARLES CHAPLIN Charles Chaplin has always been a heavy contributor to the Communist Party. He is an English Jew who grew up in the London slums. He came to America and made many millions of dollars. For years it has been an open secret in Hollywood that he was a despoiler of young women.

Whenever he was approached concerning the importance of becoming a citizen, he ridiculed the idea of American citizenship.

A few days ago he was indicted under the White Slave Act. He was also accused of being a rapist and having conspired in a plot to virtually kidnap a young woman to get her out of his way.

He is a rascal and a rotter. He is an alien and a parasite. I for one am willing to pass the hat and take up a collection with which to buy Mr. Chaplin a one-way ticket to London, England.

DIRTY WORK BEGINS Mr. Roosevelt would like to control the soldiers' vote through a Washington bureaucracy. That is the reason many of us are opposed to his so-called Federal control scheme.

We now know more than ever how right we have been. The OWI controls all news dispatches going out of Washington to foreign countries. Whenever Mr. Roosevelt speaks they broadcast everything he says to the servicemen on foreign battlefronts. As we all know, Mr. Roosevelt talks politics directly or indirectly in every speech, and he is now running as hard as he can for a Fourth Term.

When Governor John Bricker, candidate for President, spoke in Washington February 11, portions of his speech were broadcast to the overseas servicemen and releases were sent out by the newspaper telegraphic agencies. BUT we are informed by the United Press that the OWI did not permit the news agencies to use Mr. Bricker's name and they quoted sketchy parts of his speech. In other words, these bureaucratic rascals are deliberately attempting to keep the names of all Presidential candidates away from our boys excepting the name of Roosevelt.

The closer we get to November, the more desperate these New Deal tyrants will become. They not only should be run out of Washington, but many of them should be locked in Federal Prison.

MURDER WILL OUT THE NEW REPUBLIC Magazine, which follows the Roosevelt political line, has let the cat out of the bag. As the old axiom goes: "Murder will out."

In their January issue, their Washington correspondent admits that these left-wing New Dealers would like to call off the election and freeze Mr. Roosevelt in power. They even refer to the Constitution as being obsolete. This also sounds like Roosevelt.

We quote THE NEW REPUBLIC verbatim:

"Why is America having an election at this time anyway? Nobody wants it. Since nobody else states it, I suppose I must. The bald fact is that it is because a rigid, century-and-half-old Constitution requires it. The brutal fact is that the Constitution is in many respects obsolete. Until that fact is boldly faced, reformers will waste a lot of their time. What is the great postwar international danger? That the archaic two-thirds rule for Senate peace-treaty ratification will prevent American co-operation, as it did after World War I. That rule is planted firmly in the Constitution and you can't get it out save by constitutional amendment requiring an almost impossible hurdle of three-fourths of the states."

Thank God for the Constitution. Were it not for the Constitution, people who believe such treasonable philosophies, as expressed above, would betray our country within a year.

Fourth Term Means Dictatorship Says TALMADGE

Eugene Talmadge has been Governor of Georgia three times. He is hated by the internationalists, the Communists, and the New Dealers. In his weekly paper for January 6, 1944, he says:

The present administration in Washington has great theories of a world regimentation. They have their different bureaus set up in foreign countries now. They are dictating certain policies to countries that they trade with.

Every indication is that they will have a full-fledged police system over the world, and that our soldiers will be kept on foreign land and foreign seas if the present administration stays in power four more years.

If you want the war to terminate successfully, CHANGE ADMINISTRATIONS IN WASHINGTON.

If you want our soldier boys back home early, CHANGE ADMINISTRATIONS IN WASHINGTON.

If you are against the United States trying to regiment the world, CHANGE ADMINISTRATIONS IN WASHINGTON.

If you are for the United States getting back to its Constitution and being a Democracy by precept and example, CHANGE ADMINISTRATIONS IN WASHINGTON.

Why, if we follow our present course, this country will be engulfed in war for the next quarter of a century.

The crowd in Washington has forgotten everything except that they are the bosses and must perpetuate themselves in office.

The pitiful part of it is that in a great many states, where they have been successful in electing their little pets, they have followed suit and think that the State belongs to them, to be usurped and have trick elections to perpetuate them and their satellites in office.

IT IS UNAMERICAN FOR A THIRD TERM OR A FOURTH TERM! LET'S REPENT WHILE WE CAN!

As most readers know, Governor Talmadge is a Democrat, but not a New Dealer.

{Continued From Back Cover}

10. The tenth step in empire building is its final one and concerns itself with the development and maintenance of empire. Its object is to guard the colony against the so-called poisonous influence of freedom. Such freedom is engendered in republics; hence, all reference to republics is of a derogatory nature. In the meanwhile, the home government carefully undertakes to obtain some sort of control over republics wherever they are found, and to limit as much as possible the power of such republics. In this manner empires are able to impose their will on others and maintain their existence.

With the knowledge of how empires are constructed and maintained, it is essential that republics should set up the necessary safeguards to maintain their own peaceful existence.

The clean, unsuspecting life of a republic where intrigue is not essential for its existence, renders republics particularly vulnerable to the insidious designs of empires. Therefore, in order that republics may live, their inhabitants must be taught to suspect all empires. Since the inhabitants of a republic are the governing body of the nation, they must be prepared to weigh and consider all imperialistic designs throughout the world and to establish safeguards in their own country against insidious imperial propaganda. Often this propaganda works from within for it may go so far as to get control of the nation's temporary leadership. For leaders can be traitors, as history has often recorded.

Anything which aims at the development or preservation of empires must be scrutinized and avoided. No republic can have a genuine alliance with any empire for their ideas and ideals are opposite in their aims and can easily lead to war between them. Republics stand for freedom. Freedom is the death of empires. Empires do not wish to die. Therefore, empires loathe republics. Republics must know this and avoid entanglement with empires in order to preserve their own republican existence.

POLITICS IN MONKEY LAND

On a lovely little island
In a sunny tropic sea,
Lived a chattering tribe of monkeys,
Just as happy as could be.

And they lived on nuts and foliage
There was enough to go around
And, of course, each had his share;
And always some to spare.

Now while most of them were happy,
There were some who seemed to feel
If they only had a leader,
They would get a "new deal."

So they had a big election,
And they did the thing up grand,
They chose a great big husky ape
To preside o'er Monkey Land.

He made a great acceptance speech,
And he said, "My friends, I guess
You have named the proper monkey—
I will straighten out this mess."

Then he said, "If we would prosper,
I can very plainly see,
We must take one-half the cocoanuts
And dump them in the sea.

And then a little later on,
When the peanuts start to grow,
We will have to work together—
Plow up every other row."

And so they dug up peanuts,
And they worked and worked for days,
For he promised them a profit
On the ones they did not raise.

But later on it was revealed
In the leader's first retort,
That the normal crop of peanuts
Was very, very short.

Now the little baby monkeys
With their hair as soft as silk,
Depended on the cocoanuts
To provide them with their milk.

And before the common monkeys
Knew what it was all about,
The smart ones cornered all the food,
And the rest were left without.

Now the stronger ones are hungry,
And the weaker ones are dead;
And the scheme to help the country
Is quite sadly in the red.

Of course, this is monkey business,
And we should not even fuss,
But what if some big ape should try
To pull such a stunt on us!

—*(Author Anonymous)*

The above poem can be copied and circulated by anyone, without restriction.

SUBSCRIPTION BLANK

THE CROSS AND THE FLAG,
Gerald L. K. Smith, Editor,
P. O. Box No. 459, Detroit 31, Michigan.

I am filling in below the blank which expresses my wishes:

☐ Enclosed find $..... to cover.....subscriptions at $1.00 each for six (6) months.

☐ Enclosed find $..... to cover.....subscriptions at $2.00 for one (1) year.

☐ Enclosed find $1.00 for trial subscription for six (6) months.

☐ Enclosed find $2.00 for my subscription for one (1) year.

NOTE: If subscribing for more people than yourself, write their names and addresses plainly on an attached sheet of paper.

Name.....

Street.....

City..... State.....

How to Build Empires

***By* DEAN E. SMITH**

***EDITOR'S NOTE:** Dean E. Smith was for more than 20 years head of the Standard Oil Company in Burma. He was in Rangoon when it was bombed by the Japs. He has been over the Burma Road. He has mingled with Chinese and British generals. He knows the tricks and science of British Imperialism as no other man I have ever met.*

Recently he spoke under the auspices of the America First Party in Detroit, Cleveland and Pittsburgh. During his address he referred to an article which appeared some years ago in the English language newspaper of British controlled Rangoon. This article outlines the science employed by the British in building their Empire. The same system has been embraced by other Imperialistic peoples.

I implored Mr. Smith to make me a copy of this article entitled "Ten Ways To Build an Empire." We print herewith the ten rules as well as a brief comment by Mr. Smith, who very recently returned to America from the Orient.

***ATTENTION READER!** As you read these ten points, see if you can see any similarity between the tricks as outlined therein and some things that are going on in America today.*

EMPIRES are constructed on carefully planned lines. An empire is actually an overgrown corporation. It lacks a soul.

1. The first step in empire building is to keep a careful watch on every country that has wealth, either latent or developed. Empires, like successful corporations, do not waste their time on poor prospects.
2. The second step in empire building is to watch out for any sign of feeble statesmanship which might develop in one of the selected countries, and to immediately take advantage of it.
3. The third step in empire building is to assist such feeble statesmanship to retain its position in the afflicted country and simultaneously to create an element of division in that country. Such division usually proceeds along racial, religious or provincial lines. Of course, such division would not be possible in a well-governed country. The object of Imperialism is to act before the afflicted country recovers from its bad leadership.
4. The fourth step in empire building is to dilute the patriotism of the country about to be victimized by subsidizing a smear campaign against its patriots so as to discredit those patriots in the eyes of the people. This is done by means of printed matter and the spoken word. This also is not possible without the tacit consent of the country's feeble leadership.
5. The fifth step in empire building is to get control of as much of the wealth of the country as possible. If this is not feasible, efforts are made to get the country to dissipate its wealth through profligate spending. This reduces the country's power of financial resistance against Imperialism.
6. The sixth step in empire building is to aim for a circumstance which would create strife in the country under consideration. Such strife would consist of civil disturbances, insubordination and other forms of disorder. The empire would then offer its assistance to the country's feeble leadership to keep the peace and at the same time thereby enable such feeble leadership to retain its power. It is noteworthy that empires always co-operate with feeble leadership in any of the countries selected as their victims, and that all strong patriotic leaders in such countries are opposed by every means possible.
7. The seventh step in empire building occurs after the riots have subsided. It consists of keeping its forces in the country through excuses and promises supported by the country's feeble leadership. Such leadership resorts to immense promises for it is an axiom that all the demagogues the world has ever known have dangled before the eyes of their intended victims the promise of impossible things. Such action is aimed at the destruction or control of the country's private enterprise. This gives greater strength to the controlling forces.
8. The eighth step in empire building is one of the most important because it is timed to take effect with the final awakening of the people of the country who will have at least realized they have been deceived and that their country's freedom is in danger and that they are on the verge of becoming imperial slaves. Therefore, with great promptness, assisted by the feeble statesmanship of the country involved, imperial forces demand and achieve the imprisonment of all patriots and the intimidation of all others.
9. The ninth step in empire building is to reward all traitors by giving them lucrative positions in the service of the government, and to let it be known that it pays to be a traitor, and that it does not pay to be a patriot. The object of this plan is two-fold. It helps to keep order as Imperialism fastens its shackles on the nation, and it also breaks the spirit of the people and designedly deteriorates their character. When the character of a people becomes sufficiently deteriorated, their country becomes a peaceful and profitable colony.

{Continued Inside Back Cover}